

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,222 03/03/2004		03/03/2004	Walter L. Moden	3389.8US (97-0638.08/US)	4777
24247	7590	04/14/2006	EXAMINER		NER
TRASK			TRINH, MINH N		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		UT 84110	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
	·			3729	
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A - 41 Occurrence	10/792,222	MODEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh Trinh	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	hruary 2006						
	action is non-final.						
·= ·-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<i>,</i> — · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,	d.					
	·						
•							
Attachment(s)	-						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 2/13/06 has been considered and made of record.
 Claims 1-4 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In this case, the claimed subject matter such as "one side of the attached adjacent peripheral sides having a plurality of conductive buses thereon" (claim 1, lines 8-11) was not described in the specification. Note that in the specification pages 14-15, paragraphs 69-73 disclose the method for vertical and horizontal stacked IC package without the use of flex PCB or buses.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3729

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are example:

It is not clear as to whether "a cage" (claim 1, line 8) is as same as "a cage" of line 4 of claim 1. Also, a cage as recites in claim 1, preamble does not agree with that as recited in claim 1, line 8.

Claim 2, recites " at least two sides of the plurality sides of each primary IC package" (claim 2, line 1) appears to be incorrect since claim 1 does require four sides (see claim 1, line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-3 as best under stood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palagonia (5,604,377) in view of Nishino (5,343,075). Palagonia discloses a method of the present invention comprising steps: providing a cage 11 enclosing at least two adjacent sides of the plurality sides of each primary integrated circuit package (PICP) 10 or 12 of the stacked plurality of PICP's 10 or 12 (see Figs. 1-3, and 6 and the discussion at col. 2, lines 35-40); attaching the cage 11 to the substrate 18, 31 (see Figs. 2, 6). Palagonia is silent regarding the connecting at least

Art Unit: 3729

one outer lead to the plurality of outer leads of the stacked plurality of PICP's to at least one conductive bus of a plurality of spaced traverse conductive buses and the PICP having plurality leads extending from each side therefrom. However, Nishino discloses the step as described above where at least one outer lead of the plurality of outer leads of the stacked of PICP's being connected to at least one conductive bus of a plurality of spaced traverse conductive buses 7 of the flexible PCB 8 (see Figs. 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Nishino teaching onto the method invention of Palagonia in order to obtain a desired semiconductor device having a desire size, shape and configurations requirements that having characteristics such as durable and dissipate heat, etc.

Limitations of claim 2-3 are also met similar to that as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection see paragraph 2-6 above.

Interviews After Final

9. Applicants note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with

Art Unit: 3729

only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 10. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3729

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt 11/8/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER